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## Problem

Given two closed smooth manifolds $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, when does an isomorphism $H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ imply that $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are diffeomorphic?

There are many important series of manifolds for which the cohomology ring does not determine the diffeomorphism class.

## Introduction

## Problem

Given two closed smooth manifolds $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, when does an isomorphism $H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ imply that $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are diffeomorphic?

There are many important series of manifolds for which the cohomology ring does not determine the diffeomorphism class.

- Three-dimensional Lens spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(p ; q_{1}\right) \simeq L\left(p ; q_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow q_{1} q_{2} \equiv \pm n^{2} \bmod p \\
& L\left(p ; q_{1}\right) \cong L\left(p ; q_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow q_{1} \equiv \pm q_{2}^{ \pm 1} \bmod p
\end{aligned}
$$

## Introduction

## Problem

Given two closed smooth manifolds $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, when does an isomorphism $H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ imply that $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are diffeomorphic?

There are many important series of manifolds for which the cohomology ring does not determine the diffeomorphism class.

- Three-dimensional Lens spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(p ; q_{1}\right) \simeq L\left(p ; q_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow q_{1} q_{2} \equiv \pm n^{2} \bmod p \\
& L\left(p ; q_{1}\right) \cong L\left(p ; q_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow q_{1} \equiv \pm q_{2}^{ \pm 1} \bmod p
\end{aligned}
$$

- Milnor's exotic spheres

There are at least 7 differential structures on $S^{7}$.

## Introduction

## Problem

Given two closed smooth manifolds $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, when does an isomorphism $H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ imply that $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are diffeomorphic?

There are many important series of manifolds for which the cohomology ring does not determine the diffeomorphism class.

- Three-dimensional Lens spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(p ; q_{1}\right) \cong L\left(p ; q_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow q_{1} q_{2} \equiv \pm n^{2} \bmod p \\
& L\left(p ; q_{1}\right) \cong L\left(p ; q_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow q_{1} \equiv \pm q_{2}^{ \pm 1} \bmod p
\end{aligned}
$$

- Milnor's exotic spheres

There are at least 7 differential structures on $S^{7}$.

- Donaldson's four-dimensional manifolds

There are some simply connected compact 4 -manifolds with a countably infinite number of different smooth structures.

## Introduction

## Problem

Given two closed smooth manifolds $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, when does an isomorphism $H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ imply that $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are diffeomorphic?

There are many important series of manifolds for which the cohomology ring does not determine the diffeomorphism class.

- Three-dimensional Lens spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(p ; q_{1}\right) \cong L\left(p ; q_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow q_{1} q_{2} \equiv \pm n^{2} \bmod p \\
& L\left(p ; q_{1}\right) \cong L\left(p ; q_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow q_{1} \equiv \pm q_{2}^{ \pm 1} \bmod p
\end{aligned}
$$

- Milnor's exotic spheres

There are at least 7 differential structures on $S^{7}$.

- Donaldson's four-dimensional manifolds

There are some simply connected compact 4 -manifolds with a countably infinite number of different smooth structures.

## Introduction

Let $\gamma$ be the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$, and let $\Sigma_{n}$ be the total space of the projective bundle $P\left(\underline{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \gamma^{\otimes n}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $\Sigma_{n}$ is a closed smooth manifold.

Theorem [Hirzebruch, 1951]
The manifolds $\Sigma_{n}$ and $\Sigma_{m}$ are diffeomorphic if and only if $n \equiv m \bmod 2$.
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## Simple polytopes

## Definition

A polytope is a convex hull of finite points in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
e.g.) 2-dimensional polytope=polygon

3-dimensional polytope=$=$ polyhedron
Definition
An $n$-dimensional polytope is simple if precisely $n$ facets (codimension-1 faces) meet at each vertex.
simple
not simple
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## Quasitoric manifolds

The standard representation of $T^{n}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \cdot\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\left(t_{1} z_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} z_{n}\right)
$$

$\Rightarrow(0, \ldots, 0)$ is a fixed point.
$\Rightarrow$ The orbit space $\mathbb{C}^{n} / T^{n}$ is a cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n}$.
Davis-Januszkiewicz (1991)
A quasitoric manifold $M$ is a closed smooth manifold of dimension $2 n$ with a smooth action of $T^{n}$ such that
(1) the action of $T^{n}$ is locally standard, and
(2) there is a projection $\pi: M \rightarrow P$ such that the fibers of $\pi$ are the $T^{n}$-orbits,
where $P$ is a simple polytope of dimension $n$.
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## Example and Non-example

## Complex projective spaces

$$
\mathbb{C} P^{n}=\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \backslash\{0\} / \sim,
$$

where $\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \equiv$
$\left(\lambda z_{0}, \lambda z_{1}, \ldots, \lambda z_{n}\right)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.
Then $T^{n} \curvearrowright \mathbb{C} P^{n}$ as
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\begin{aligned}
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& =\left[z_{0}, t_{1} z_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} z_{n}\right]
\end{aligned}
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$\mathbb{C} P^{3} / T^{3}$
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S^{6} / T^{3}
$$

## Construction

Let $P$ be an $n$-dim'l simple polytope with facets $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}$.
A function $\lambda:\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is a characteristic function on $P$ if

$$
\bigcap F_{i}: \text { vertex } \Longrightarrow\left\{\lambda\left(F_{i}\right)\right\}: \text { a basis of } \mathbb{Z}^{n} .
$$

For each $i=1, \ldots, m$, set $\lambda\left(F_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i}$ and let $T_{i}$ be the circle subgroup of $T^{n}$ corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i}$. For each point $x \in P$, define a torus $T(x)=\prod_{i: x \in F_{i}} T_{i}$.
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## Cohomology of quasitoric manifolds

The cohomology ring of a quasitoric manifold $M=M(P, \lambda)$ is
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H^{*}(M(P, \lambda)) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}_{P}+\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}, \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=2,
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and
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\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}=\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle v_{i} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\rangle .
$$
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## Moment-angle manifold

Let $P$ be an $n$-dim'l simple polytope with facets $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}$.
Let $T_{i}$ be the 1-dim'l coordinate subgroup of $T^{m}$ corresponding to $F_{i}$. Then for each face $F=\cap_{j} F_{j} \neq \emptyset$ of $P$, we set $T_{F}=\prod_{j} T_{j}$.

## Definition

The moment-angle manifold corresponding to $P$ is

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{P}=P \times I^{m} / \sim
$$

where $(x, t) \sim\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow x=x^{\prime} \& t^{-1} t^{\prime} \in T_{F(x)}$. Here $F(x)$ is the face containing $x$ in its interior.

## Moment-angle manifold

Let $P$ be an $n$-dim'l simple polytope with facets $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}$.
Let $T_{i}$ be the 1-dim'l coordinate subgroup of $T^{m}$ corresponding to $F_{i}$. Then for each face $F=\cap_{j} F_{j} \neq \emptyset$ of $P$, we set $T_{F}=\prod_{j} T_{j}$.

## Definition

The moment-angle manifold corresponding to $P$ is

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{P}=P \times T^{m} / \sim
$$

where $(x, t) \sim\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow x=x^{\prime} \& t^{-1} t^{\prime} \in T_{F(x)}$. Here $F(x)$ is the face containing $x$ in its interior.

Example


## Moment-angle manifold

Let $P$ be an $n$-dim'l simple polytope with facets $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m}$.
Let $T_{i}$ be the 1-dim'l coordinate subgroup of $T^{m}$ corresponding to $F_{i}$. Then for each face $F=\cap_{j} F_{j} \neq \emptyset$ of $P$, we set $T_{F}=\prod_{j} T_{j}$.

## Definition

The moment-angle manifold corresponding to $P$ is

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{P}=P \times T^{m} / \sim,
$$

where $(x, t) \sim\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow x=x^{\prime} \& t^{-1} t^{\prime} \in T_{F(x)}$. Here $F(x)$ is the face containing $x$ in its interior.

## Example

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta^{n}}=S^{2 n+1} \text { and } \mathcal{Z}_{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} S^{2 n_{i}+1}
$$
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$\Longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\bar{\lambda})$ is an $(m-n)$-dimensional subtorus of $T^{m}$.
Theorem [Davis-Januszkiewicz]
The subtorus $\operatorname{ker}(\bar{\lambda})$ acts freely on $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$, thereby defining a principal $T^{m-n}$-bundle $\mathcal{Z}_{P} \rightarrow M(P, \lambda)$.
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$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right)_{n \times(n+1)} .
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Then $\operatorname{ker}(\bar{\lambda})=\{(t, t, \ldots, t)\} \subset T^{n+1}$ and $S^{2 n+1} / \operatorname{ker}(\bar{\lambda})=\mathbb{C} P^{n}$.

## Cohomology of moment-angle manifolds

Recall that $H^{*}(M(P, \lambda))=\mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}_{P}+\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$. Let $\mathrm{k}[P]=\mathrm{k}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}_{P}$.

## Cohomology of moment-angle manifolds

Recall that $H^{*}(M(P, \lambda))=\mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}_{P}+\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$.
Let $\mathbf{k}[P]=\mathbf{k}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}_{P}$.

## Theorem [Buchstaber-Panov]

(1) There are isomorphisms of (multi)graded commutative algebras

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{P}\right) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{\mathrm{k}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right]}(\mathrm{k}[P], \mathrm{k}) \\
& \cong H\left[\Lambda\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right] \otimes \mathbf{k}[P], d\right), \\
& \text { where } \operatorname{mdeg}\left(u_{i}\right)=\left(-1,2 \mathrm{e}_{i}\right), m \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=\left(0,2 \mathrm{e}_{i}\right), d u_{i}=v_{i}, d v_{i}=0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cohomology of moment-angle manifolds

Recall that $H^{*}(M(P, \lambda))=\mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}_{P}+\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$.
Let $\mathbf{k}[P]=\mathbf{k}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}_{P}$.

## Theorem [Buchstaber-Panov]

(1) There are isomorphisms of (multi)graded commutative algebras

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{P}\right) & \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{\mathbf{k}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right]}(\mathbf{k}[P], \mathbf{k}) \\
& \cong H\left[\Lambda\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right] \otimes \mathbf{k}[P], d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{mdeg}\left(u_{i}\right)=\left(-1,2 \mathbf{e}_{i}\right), \operatorname{mdeg}\left(v_{i}\right)=\left(0,2 \mathbf{e}_{i}\right), d u_{i}=v_{i}, d v_{i}=0$.
(2) There is an isomorphism of cohomology rings

$$
H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{P} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{Z}[P] / \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}, \mathbb{Z}\right) .
$$

## Cohomology of moment-angle manifolds

Recall that $H^{*}(M(P, \lambda))=\mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}_{P}+\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$.
Let $\mathbf{k}[P]=\mathbf{k}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}_{P}$.

## Theorem [Buchstaber-Panov]

(1) There are isomorphisms of (multi)graded commutative algebras

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{P}\right) & \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{\mathbf{k}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right]}(\mathbf{k}[P], \mathbf{k}) \\
& \cong H\left[\Lambda\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right] \otimes \mathbf{k}[P], d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{mdeg}\left(u_{i}\right)=\left(-1,2 \mathbf{e}_{i}\right), \operatorname{mdeg}\left(v_{i}\right)=\left(0,2 \mathbf{e}_{i}\right), d u_{i}=v_{i}, d v_{i}=0$.
(2) There is an isomorphism of cohomology rings

$$
H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{P} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{Z}[P] / \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}, \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

## (1) Introduction

## (2) Quasitoric manifolds and moment-angle manifolds

(3) Cohomological rigidity problems

4 Over the Pogorelov class
(5) Remarks

## Rigidity problems

In 2006, Masuda and Suh introduced the following problem.
Cohomological rigidity problems for quasitoric manifolds
If two quasitoric manifolds $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ have the same cohomology ring with integral coefficients, are they homeomorphic? In other words, is the family of quasitoric manifolds cohomologically rigid?

This problem is still OPEN. There is no counter example, but there are many results which support the affirmative answer.

## Rigidity problems

In 2006, Masuda and Suh introduced the following problem.

## Cohomological rigidity problems for quasitoric manifolds

If two quasitoric manifolds $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ have the same cohomology ring with integral coefficients, are they homeomorphic? In other words, is the family of quasitoric manifolds cohomologically rigid?

This problem is still OPEN. There is no counter example, but there are many results which support the affirmative answer.

## Known results

(1) Quasitoric manifolds of $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \leq 4$ [Orlik-Raymond (1970)]
(2) $\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{C} P^{n_{i}}$ [Masuda-Panov (2008), Choi-Masuda-Suh (2010)]
(3) Projective smooth toric varieties with second Betti number 2 [Choi-Masuda-Suh (2010)]
(1) Quasitoric manifolds with second Betti number 2 [Choi-P-Suh (2012)]
(5) Quasitoric manifolds over the cube $I^{3}$ and dual cyclic polytopes [Hasui (2015)]
(0) Projective bundles over smooth compact toric surfaces [Choi-P (2016)]
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## Example

The orbit space of $\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 3 \overline{\mathbb{C} P^{2}}$ is a three times vertex-cut of $\Delta^{3}$.


The corresponding moment-angle manifolds are homeomorphic to the connected sum of sphere products

$$
\# \#_{k=3}^{6}\left(S^{k} \times S^{9-k}\right) \#(k-2)\binom{5}{k-1}
$$
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## Rigidity problems for polytopes

## Definition [Masuda-Suh]

A simple polytope $P$ is said to be $C$-rigid if it satisfies any of the following

- there is no quasitoric mnifold whose orbit space is $P$; or
- there exists a quasitoric manifold whose orbit space is $P$, and whenever there exists a quasitoric manifold $N$ over another polytope $Q$ with a graded ring isomorphism $H^{*}(M) \cong H^{*}(N)$, there is combinatorial equivalence $P \approx Q$.

Definition [Buchstaber]
A simple polytope $P$ is said to be $B$-rigid if any cohomology ring isomorphism $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{P}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{Q}\right)$ of moment-angle manifolds implies a combinatorial equivalence $P \approx Q$.
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Proposition [Choi-Panov-Suh]
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## Pogorelov class

## Definition

A Pogorelov class $\mathcal{P}$ consists of simple 3-dimensional polytopes which are flag and do not have 4-belts.

- A simple polytope is called flag if every collection of its pairwise intersecting faces has a nonempty intersection.
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## Over the Pogorelov class

Theorem [Fan-Ma-Wang]
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## Note that

- Every simple polytope of dimension 3 admits a characteristic function by the Four Color Theorem. $\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathrm{e}_{i}\right)$
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## Corollary

The polytopes in $\mathcal{P}$ are C -rigid.

## Cohomological rigidity

Lemma [Fan-Ma-Wang]
Consider the cohomology classes

$$
\mathcal{T}(P)=\left\{ \pm\left[u_{i} v_{j}\right] \in H^{3}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{P}\right) \mid F_{i} \cap F_{j}=\emptyset\right\} .
$$

If $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then for any cohomology ring isomorphism $\psi: H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{P}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{P^{\prime}}\right)$, we have $\psi(\mathcal{T}(P))=\mathcal{T}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$.

## Lemma

Consider the set of cohomology classes

$$
\mathcal{D}(M)=\left\{ \pm\left[v_{i}\right] \in H^{2}(M) \mid i=1, \ldots, m\right\} .
$$

If $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $M^{\prime}$ is a quasitoric manifold over $P^{\prime}$, ten for any cohomology ring isomorphism $\varphi: H^{*}(M) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ we have $\varphi(\mathcal{D}(M))=\mathcal{D}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, the set $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is mapped bijectively under $\varphi$.
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## Cohomological rigidity

## Theorem

Let $M=M(P, \lambda)$ and $M^{\prime}=M\left(P^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Assume that $P$ belongs to the Pogorelov class $\mathcal{P}$. Then the following are equivalent.
(1) $H^{*}(M)$ and $H^{*}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic;
(2) $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are diffeomorphic; and
(3) $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are equivalent.
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## Remark

Let $\Sigma_{n}$ and $\Sigma_{m}$ be Hirzebruch surfaces. Then

- $\Sigma_{n}$ and $\Sigma_{m}$ are diffeomorphic if and only if $n \equiv m \bmod 2$, and
- $\Sigma_{n}$ and $\Sigma_{m}$ are equivalent if and only if $|n|=|m|$.


## Cohomological rigidity for small covers

## Definition

A small cover $M$ is a closed smooth manifold of dimension $n$ with a locally standard action of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$ such that there is a projection $\pi: M \rightarrow P$ such that the fibers of $\pi$ are the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$-orbits.

Theorem
Let $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ be small covers of $P$ and $P^{\prime}$, respectively. Assume that $P$ belongs to the Pogorelov class $\mathcal{P}$. Then the following are equivalent.
(1) $H^{*}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and $H^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic;
(2) $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ are diffeomorphic; and
(3) $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ are equivalent.
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## Cohomological rigidity for 6-dim'l quasitoric manifolds

## Theorem [Wall, Jupp]

Let $\varphi: H^{*}(N) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ be an isomorphism of the cohomology rings of smooth closed simply connected 6 -dimensional manifolds $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ with $H^{3}(N)=H^{3}\left(N^{\prime}\right)=0$. Suppose that
(1) $\varphi\left(w_{2}(N)\right)=w_{2}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$, where $w_{2}(N) \in H^{2}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is the second Stiefel-Whiteny class; and
(2) $\varphi\left(p_{1}(N)\right)=\varphi\left(p_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right)$, where $p_{1}(N) \in H^{4}(N)$ is the first Pontryagin class.

Then the manifolds $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ are diffeomorphic.

## Cohomological rigidity for 6-dim'l quasitoric manifolds

## Lemma [Choi-Masuda-Suh]

Suppose that the ring $H^{*}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is generated by $H^{k}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ for some $k>0$. Then any cohomology ring isomorphism $\left.\varphi: H^{*}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(N^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right)$ preserves the total Stiefel-Whitney class, i.e., $\varphi(w(N))=w\left(N^{\prime}\right)$.

Corollary
The family of 6-dimensional quasitoric manifolds is cohomologically rigid if any cohomology ring isomorphism between them preserves the first Pontryagin class.
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## Thank you very much!

